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**Dually-Identified Youth**: Youth who are currently involved with the juvenile justice system and have a history in the child welfare system but no current involvement.

**Dually-Involved Youth**: Youth who have concurrent involvement (diversionary, formal, or a combination of the two) with both the child welfare and juvenile justice systems.

**Dually-Adjudicated Youth**: Youth who are concurrently adjudicated in both the child welfare and juvenile justice systems (i.e., both dependent and delinquent).
STRUCTURE & GOVERNANCE

Executive Committee

[Governance & Decision-Making / Oversight & Mgmt. of Subcommittees]

1. Data Collection, Management, & Performance Measurement Subcommittee

2. Resources & Practices Subcommittee

3. Law, Policy & Information Sharing Subcommittee
## DUAL STATUS YOUTH INITIATIVE

**Enhanced emphasis:**

- Early intervention
- Alternative response
- Trauma screening
- Cross-system mapping
- Organizational culture change
- Family engagement
- Performance measurement
- Youth outcome clarity
The following activities are offered to illustrate what is sought by your engagement in the process mapping activity:

• Understanding of the steps in the various system and court processes

• Identification of what happens (action), who is responsible (decision), and what output or outcome is expected or produced at each step (product)

• Discussion/Assessment of the quantity and/or quality of the information being gathered and used

• Identification of process gaps (time lines, personnel)

• Identification of necessary resources (workforce and program)

• Identification of what is and is not working
Accomplished by viewing, or constructing if one does not exist, a case-flow process for the juvenile justice system

Key decision points in the processing of a juvenile case will be identified

Collectively clarify professional staff responsibilities, mandates and expected products and outcomes that support improved decision making at each key step
Newton County, GA
Process Map

Key Reform Decision Points in Yellow font

8a – Intake ID for legal Sufficiency and review of detention

10a – Intake staffing; use of RNR tools/methods; explore alternative responses

12b – Local Interagency Planning Team
Newton County, GA

Process Map – Narrative

- **Participants**
- **Decisions/Actions**
- **Who Decides/Acts**
- **Criteria**
- **Notes**

### Attachment 15: Newton County Juvenile Court Delinquent/Unruly Case Flow Narrative

#### Inclusion of Key Decision Points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A. | Referral  
Step 1, 2 & 3: Offense Committee; Complaint Filed  
Participants: Youth, Family; DJ, Law Enforcement, School, Other Court, Individual, DFCS; Juvenile Court  
Decisions/Actions: Youth allegedly committed delinquent or unruly act; Agency or individual decides whether to make complaint to Juvenile Court; Juvenile Court (intake) decides whether to accept complaint  
Who Decides/Acts: Youth; DJ, Law Enforcement, School, Other Court, Individual, DFCS; Juvenile Court  
Criteria: Offense committed; Incident report  
Notes: Complaints may be filed via mail, e-mail, drop box, phone or in person; Intake may discuss charges with law enforcement at time of complaint - this may result in additional charges or incident report if complaint does not result in a referral; Law enforcement identifies case for Juvenile Court; Juvenile Court (intake) decides whether to accept complaint |
| B. | Intake - Detention Decision  
Steps 4 & 5: Complaint taken; Detention decision  
Participants: Intake Staff  
Decisions/Actions: Intake enters complaint into log; Intake decides if detention decision needed  
Who Decides/Acts: Intake Staff  
Criteria: Specific charges; History  
Notes: Referrals received at Court; Ready for routing by intake |
| C. | Detention/Alternative  
Step 6: Youth detained; Released or sent to RYDC  
Participants: Intake Staff; Sheriff's Office; Youth  
Decisions/Actions: Intake requests Sheriff's Office to pick up youth; If felony, youth is fingerprinted at Sheriff's Office; Deputy places youth in holding cell; Intake does DAI, makes decision for release or transfer to RYDC  
Who Decides/Acts: Intake Staff  
Criteria: DAI score; Specific charges; History  
Notes: Specific offenses require detention; If after hours, youth held at Sheriff's Office; during business hours youth held at the Court |
| Step 7: | Youth detained at RYDC  
Participants: Intake Staff; Sheriff's Office; RYDC; Youth  
Decisions/Actions: Intake notifies RYDC & transport (Sheriff's Office); Sheriff's Office transports youth to RYDC; Intake schedules Detention Hearing, notifies ADA, PD, DJ, Clerk & family (DJ may assist)  
Who Decides/Acts: Intake Staff  
Criteria: Detention decision (Step 6)  
Notes: Youth may be transported directly from Sheriff's Office or from Court; Newton County youth are normally routed to Sandersville RYDC; if bed unavailable, Sandersville will find alternative detention location |
Recommended Practices & Products for Handling Dual Status Youth

Practices

- Development of individual outcomes for each youth focused on competencies and connections to family and community.
- Routine identification of dual status youth within a prescribed time frame.
- Use of validated screening and assessment instruments.
- Development and use of a joint assessment process or methodology across systems and in collaboration with the youth and family.
- Identification and development of opportunities for alternatives to formal processing at key decision points.

- Use of a structured process for the consideration of diversion, early intervention, and alternatives to formal processing at the earliest possible opportunity.
- Development of procedures for routine, ongoing contact between probation officers and child welfare workers over the life of each dual status case.
- Employment of coordinated case planning, coordinated court processes, and coordinated case management.
- Focus on family stability, placement stability, and community connections.
- Engagement of families in decision making processes that impact their children as well as in policy and program development decisions that impact cross system handling of all dual status youth.
Using Risk Assessments to Make Supervision and Service Decisions Throughout the Life of a Case

GINA M VINCENT, PHD
gina.vincent@umassmed.edu
Associate Professor, UMass Medical School
Co-Director, Law & Psychiatry Program
Screening vs. Assessment

Screening (triage)

Screened Out

Assessment

Doesn’t have problem

Has problem in question
How Screening and Assessment are Conducted

Must be performed with standardized tools
- “Standardized:” Structured and manualized
  - Same data collected in every case
  - Always collected in exactly the same way

Tools must be “evidence-based”
- “Reliable:” Evidence they produce dependable scores
- “Valid:” Evidence that they measure what they claim (For risk – refers to evidence that it ‘predicts’ reoffending)
- Relevant
- Feasible
Steps To Effective Implementation

8 Steps to Implementation

1. Getting ready
2. Establish buy-in
3. Select tool
4. Develop policies & case plan format
5. Staff training
6. Pilot test
7. Full implementation
8. Sustainability/Data

Vincent, Grisso, & Guy (2012) Funded by MacArthur Foundation
Risk assessment
&
risk-need-responsivity (RNR)
Risk Assessment Comes in Different Forms

- **Brief versus comprehensive**
- **Different purposes and different decision-points where it is used**:
  - Diversion eligibility
  - Appropriateness for Pre-trial detention
  - Dispositional and case planning/treatment needs
  - Release/re-entry

- **Actuarial (formulaic) vs. Structured Professional Judgment**

- “Off-the-shelf” vs. “home-grown”
Risk Factors In Comprehensive RAs

- Anything that increases the likelihood of reoffending.
- Two types:
  - **Static Risk Factors** – do not change
  - **Dynamic Risk Factors** (*Criminogenic Needs*) – can change
    - Antisocial attitudes/orientation
    - Disruptive behavior problems/Personality traits
    - Family dynamics/parenting
    - Substance abuse
    - Poor school achievement
    - Negative peer associations

- Both types are important for measuring risk
- **Protective Factors** – buffer the risk
Continuity Of The Risk Assessment & Case Plan Is Essential

Pre-Adjudication
- Risk Assessment
  - Divert

Pre-Disposition
- Minor Sanction
- Probation
- Correctional Placement

Post-Disposition & Re-entry
- Family Services
- Substance Abuse Treatment
- Cognitive-Behavioral therapy
- Life Skills

Case planning

The diagram illustrates the flow of risk assessment and case planning across pre-adjudication, pre-disposition, and post-disposition stages. The continuity of the risk assessment and case plan is essential for effective intervention and support services for individuals.

Robert F. Kennedy Children's Action Corps
Effective and individualized case management requires valid assessment & RNR principles:

- **Risk** – Match the intensity of the intervention with one’s level of risk for re-offending

- **Need** – Target dynamic or changeable risk factors (aka *criminogenic needs*)

- **Responsivity** – Match the mode & strategies of services with the individual
Using Risk Assessment to Match Services and Needs: Risk Reduction (Vieira et al., 2009)

Match based on # of services given in response to a youth’s criminogenic needs
Combining Risk & Behavioral Health
(adapted from NCMHJJ)

High MH

- More intensive intervention w/MH or SA & RNR services

Low risk

- Divert with little to no intervention

Low MH

- Divert w/MH or SA services

High risk

- More intensive intervention w/RNR services

Low MH

Low risk

Combining Risk & Behavioral Health (adapted from NCMHJJ)
Widely-Used MH & SA Screening Tools

10 minute tools with both MH and substance use screening features

- **MAYSI-2**: Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument-Second Version
  - Used statewide in 44 states in juvenile justice probation, detention or juvenile corrections systems

- **GAIN-SS**: Global Appraisal of Individual Need - Short Screen
  - Used in diverse range of child services settings throughout U.S.

Substance use only

- **CRAFFT**:
  - Short 6-item interview for youth under age 21
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Level</th>
<th>Substance Abuse</th>
<th>Family/Parenting</th>
<th>Disruptive Beh./Person</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low risk</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Support family to monitor youth</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Outpatient Individual counseling</td>
<td>Strengthening families Active parenting</td>
<td>Courage2Change Thinking for a Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High risk</td>
<td>Intensive outpatient Inpatient if needed</td>
<td>FFT MST (if other risk factors too) Therapeutic foster care if serious</td>
<td>CBT, ART, MRT MST, Possible residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

- Risk assessment + RNR can be used to conserve resources and improve outcomes for youth while still protecting public safety
  - Impact will vary based on the quality of implementation & site characteristics

- Implement the risk & need principles in all areas of case management

- Try not to treat mental/behavioral health in isolation without treating the risks
Process Mapping

**Step 1: Pre-planning**
- Determine committee membership
- Gather (or create) maps for existing processes

**Step 2: First meeting**
- Clarify expectations and roles of members
- Use existing process map as a starting point
- Start to identify areas where collaboration can/should occur

**Step 3: Ongoing meetings**
- Continually revise (and then do it again, and again, and again…..) maps and narrative
- Focus on details of collaboration including new processes, policies, practices, etc.
- Full committee work vs. small group/individual work between committee meetings
MAP 1: INTAKE THROUGH INITIAL HEARING
Dual Status Cases (Where CHINS Youth Is Arrested)

1. RSR receives referral
2. RSR completes DPAI and contacts DCS
3. Rejected by Prosecutor
   - DCS contact to discuss:
     - Release options
     - Status of current DCS case
     - Any other details available
     - Contact info for assigned case manager
4. No Action/Family & Youth Intervention (FYI)
   - RSR sends e-mail to DCS with formal notice of arrest/ action taken; DCS across documents via Quest
5. Informal Adjustment
   - PO completes NAS Diversion Tool, DSY Screening Tool, Preliminary Inquiry (w/rec for DSAT) and IA agreement; assigns case to DSY Probation Officer
   - Youth/family agrees to
   - Case sent to IA
5a. Parent refuses custody
   - Youth released to DCS, sent to Shelter Care, or processed into Detention
5b. Parent refuses custody
   - Youth released to parent or other relative
5c. Administrative Hearing
   - Court approves IA
5d. Supervision for up to 9 months
   - Court denies IA
   - IA Successful completed
   - IA Successful completed
6. Formal Court Process
   - Parent or other party identified by DCS
   - Parent notified and interviewed via phone for P
   - PO completes DSY Screening Tool, Preliminary Inquiry (w/rec for DSAT) and IAAG Detention Tool; assigns case to DSY Probation Officer
   - Parent refuses custody and shelter not an option
6a(1). Release to parent or other relative
   - Parent or other party identified by DCS
   - DCS, or Shelter Care
6a(?). Release to:
   - Parent or other party identified by DCS
   - DCS, or Shelter Care
6b(1). Youth processed into Detention; RSR to add FCM to visitation list
6b(2). RSR completes DSY Screening Tool, Preliminary Inquiry (w/rec for DSAT) and IAAG Detention Tool; assigns case to DSY Probation Officer
7. Initial Hearing
   - IA Successful completed
8. CASE CLOSED
   - IA Successful completed
   - IA Successful completed

*PO must talk to assigned case manager prior to finalising the preliminary inquiry. DCS should share as much information as possible about the youth and his/her family for inclusion in the report.

Revised 2/29/16

Robert F. Kennedy Children's Action Corps
MAP 2: INITIAL HEARING THROUGH DISPOSITION
Dual Status Cases (Where CHINS Youth is Arrested)

1. Initial Hearing (IH Ct Docket) Assigned FCM will attend

2. Petition Not Authorized
   - 2a. CFM held

3. Taken Under Advisement/Set Out
   - 3a. Judicial Officer determines need for DSAT or Team Mtg

4. Informal Adjustment
   - 4a. Team Meeting; PO completes IA agreement based on team input
   - 4b. Administrative Hearing (IA Docket)

5. Petition Authorized
   - 5a(1). Pretrial Supervision
   - 5a(2). Pretrial Supervision

6. True Finding
   - 6a(1). Dismissal Hearing (DSY Docket)

7. CASE CLOSED

Revised 2/17/16

Robert F. Kennedy
Children's Action Corps
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEP</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE PARTY/PARTIES INVOLVED</th>
<th>NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RSR Probation Officer</td>
<td>RSR receives referral (either in person or through a paperwork referral)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2    | RSR Probation Officer            | RSR completes the standard intake process which includes the DRAI (Detention Risk Assessment Instrument). They also contact DCS to obtain the following:  
  - Release options (if deemed eligible for release per DRAI)  
  - Status of current DCS case  
  - Contact info for assigned case manager (if speaking with the on-call supervisor)  
  - Any other details available about the CHINS case |
| 3 – Rejected by Prosecutor       | Prosecutor                     | Delinquency case is rejected by the Prosecutor; case is closed (Box 7) |
| 4 – No Action/Family & Youth Intervention (FYI) Program | RSR Probation Officer | RSR releases youth per DCS guidance; RSR sends e-mail to DCS case manager with formal notice of arrest and action taken; DCS case manager can utilize the info from RSR to identify the need for additional services; delinquency case is closed (Box 7)  
  NOTE: DCS can access copies of all related documents via Quest |
| 5 – Informal Adjustment          | RSR Probation Officer           | RSR PO completes IYAS Diversion Tool, Preliminary Inquiry, DSY Screening Tool, and IA agreement; assigns case to DSY Probation Officer  
  NOTE: PO must talk to the assigned case manager directly prior to finalizing the preliminary inquiry. |
| 5a | RSR Probation Officer            | RSR releases youth per DCS guidance and reviews IA agreement with youth and family  
  - If youth & family agree to IA, go to Box 5c  
  - If parent refuses custody, go to Box 5e  
  - If youth & family do not agree to IA, go to Box 8 |
| 5b | RSR Probation Officer            | Judicial Officer reviews delinquency case and accepts or denies IA  
  - If accepted, go to Box 5d  
  - If not accepted, go to Box 8 |
| 5c | IH Judicial Officer              | DSY Probation Officer supervises the IA for up to 9 months  
  - If IA is successfully completed, the delinquency case is closed (Box 7)  
  - If IA is not successfully completed, case is set for Initial Hearing (Box 8) |
| 5d | DSY Probation Officer            | If the parent refuses custody, youth is released to DCS and sent to Shelter Care; if not eligible for shelter care, youth is admitted to detention. Delinquency case is set for initial hearing (Box 8) |
Process Mapping Outcomes

- Better understanding of how the systems work

- Clear expectations for staff in both systems
  - Communication: Initial and ongoing
  - Collaboration
  - Supervision, referrals, etc.

- Processes/policies to refer to when questions arise